

WORKING DOCUMENT – IDEAS FOR MENTORING STRATEGIES FROM UCI CHAIRS

Mentoring is good for retention and morale of faculty, for increasing departmental capacity/expertise, and is a priority of the UCI Strategic Plan. To assist you in creating, refining, or evaluating your current mentoring program, we have assembled a few ideas UCI chairs are currently using. There is no one-size-fits-all program or style, and chairs decide what works best for their faculty, department, and culture.

Current Mentoring Best Practice Examples

- **Assign mentor pairs**
 - Consider emeriti faculty as mentors (also engages emeriti who would like to stay involved) – they are knowledgeable and junior faculty may have lower anxiety speaking to them, can even be from other departments – junior faculty may feel less vulnerable disclosing problems to mentors who will not be their future evaluators
 - Obtain consent of mentor and mentee before assigning pair
 - Assign mentor pairs with advice from the faculty member. Often, faculty choose someone more senior to them in their same field (“content experts”) – a cautionary note that this can lead to issues when a mentor is also a supervisor and may not always allow for novel ideas.
 - Work with other departments, or departments from other schools, to cross-mentor - provide more diversity and perspective
 - Consider peer-to-peer cohort mentoring (ability to ask questions in a safe environment)
 - Assign pairs that can ideally continue throughout the whole career
 - Can be fluid – no mentor assignment needed to talk to someone for advice, and mentors can change as mentee needs change, or if mentor pair is not a good fit
 - Assign early – assign mentors (or a primary mentor) before new faculty arrive at UCI and as part of the on-boarding process
 - Sample Offer Letter Language:
 - Mentor: Our goal is to support your development as an academic. To that end, Dr. (Name) in our department will serve as your official mentor.
- **Format**
 - Meet over a meal if pressed for time: coffee, lunch, walk around Aldrich Park
 - Can host mixers or Friday happy hours where families/kids are welcome
 - Host abbreviated seminars on mentoring topics focused on junior to mid-level faculty
 - Create informal “hallway” conversation feeling in the age of working from home – can be difficult
- **Frequency**
 - Consider requiring one meeting per quarter
 - Consider requiring more frequent (weekly?) contact in the beginning and decreasing frequency over time
- **Track mentoring**

- Keep track of all mentor pairs
- Create a written annual report about mentoring progress or include in “state of the department” reports
- Keep track of accomplishments of mentees to utilize this information for promotions and awards (PubMed, Faculty Profile System, AP-10) – celebrate achievements of junior faculty
- **Finance and incentivize mentorship**
 - Support and encourage regular meetings of mentor pair (monthly or annual for at least an hour)
 - Consider department funding for initial lunch for mentor pair
 - Consider protecting at least one day (8 hours) per month for administrative, protected time or time when meetings are not scheduled.
 - Train willing mentors to be great mentors
- **Utilize resources**
 - From school (any dean initiatives?) and campus level (Sponsored Projects)
 - From other organizations
 - Faculty Individual Development Plan: [example from UCSF](#)
 - [University of Wisconsin-Madison mentoring committee](#)
 - [Duke faculty mentoring system](#)
 - from disciplinary group or association (some have programs with training “badges” to reward mentees)
- **Other Ideas**
 - Supporting the reluctant mentee
 - junior professors – may be a more private person, might feel vulnerable
 - use Equity Advisors
 - use peer mentoring
 - formal mentoring/IDP process creates a structure to ensure these faculty participate
 - achieving safety in mentoring – build trust over time
 - mid-career professors
 - may have struggles with time management – collectively develop departmental guidelines so expectations are transparent/not arbitrary
 - full professors – maybe came to UC Irvine from outside institution (including non-university setting)
 - pair with someone who was similarly situated
 - use Equity Advisors
 - Not every faculty member will be an ideal mentor
 - Chairs also need mentoring
 - attend chair meetings
 - Emphasize mentor’s role to provide unbiased support; safe place for junior faculty
 - Specific to grant funding

- Consider creating grant mentoring committees (of 3 mentors) to review faculty grant submissions
- Utilize campus-level resources like grant writing boot camp, Sponsored Projects Administration, and other grant funding support resources
- If funding available, create a position to help find grants for faculty
- Collaborate with departments in other schools when possible

Current Mentoring Challenges

- Lack of time
- Lack of incentives
- Difficulty finding resources for different mentoring needs (research, grants, etc.)
- Lack of consistency in building mentoring in to the merit & promotion process (UCSF has changed the language at CAP to include mentoring; Vice Provost O'Dowd noted that mentoring can be and often is included in files, but it is up to the faculty to include)
- Lack of appropriate support for underrepresented minorities
- Lack of confidence among senior faculty (knowing how to mentor, feeling older than technology and new resources)

Resources Available

[NIH Boot Camp](#)

[Academic Personnel](#)

[AP Chair Meeting Resources](#)

School of Medicine:

[SOM Academic Affairs](#)

[SOM Academic Senate Mentoring Committee](#)